

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,550	07/13/2001	Pauli Koutonen	FORSAL-16	3909
20455	7590 04/11/2002			_
LATHROP & CLARK LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 150		NGUYEN, JOHN (		OHN QUOC
MADISON, WI 537011507			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		•		M
		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
•		09/905,550	KOUTONEN, PA	AULI
Offic Action S	Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
		John Q. Nguyen	3653	
	of this communication	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence	address
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTO THE MAILING DATE OF TI  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail  - If the period for reply specified above  - If NO period for reply is specified ab  - Failure to reply within the set or exte  - Any reply received by the Office late earmed patent term adjustment. See  Status	HIS COMMUNICATIOn under the provisions of 37 CFR ing date of this communication. It is less than thirty (30) days, a over, the maximum statutory per unded period for reply will, by start than three months after the maximum start the start than three months after the maximum start the start than three months after the maximum start the start than three months after the start than three months after the start than three maximum start than the	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, m reply within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) tute, cause the application to becore	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tin MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on _	·		
2a) This action is FINAL	2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this applicatio closed in accordanc Disposition of Claims	n is in condition for allo e with the practice und	owance except for formal der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	matters, prosecution as to 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the ments is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are	pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above clair	n(s) is/are witho	drawn from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are	allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are r	ejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are	objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are s	ubject to restriction an	d/or election requirement	<b>.</b>	
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is ob	jected to by the Exam	iner.		·
10) The drawing(s) filed or	•	•		
			beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	
11)☐ The proposed drawing			☐ disapproved by the Exam	niner.
	-	reply to this Office action.		·
12) The oath or declaratio	•	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 11				
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is n		eign prionty under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * o				
		ents have been received		
	• •		in Application No	10.
application	from the International	nonty documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2( list of the certified copies		ai Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is ma	ade of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	nal application).
a) ☐ The translation o 15)☐ Acknowledgment is m		provisional application he estic priority under 35 U.		
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTC 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent     Information Disclosure Statement	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) D Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper ( ce of Informal Patent Application ( r:	
I S Patent and Trademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/905,550

Art Unit: 3653

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For clarity and/or definiteness, it appears that "splitting" and "splitter" (throughout the claims) should be changed to -slitting—and -slitter--, respectively, for consistency, that "assemble" (claim 20, line 3) should be -assembly--.

In claim 6, which structure is performing the glue application?

In claim 7, is the papermaking machine being claimed? If yes, then the scope of the claims must be changed.

In claim 9, is the unwinder being claimed? If yes, then the scope of the claims must be changed.

The following appear to lack sufficient antecedent basis (in the claim): "said websevering devices" (claim 14).

All terms such as "it", "its", "they", "their", "them", etc., should be clarified. For instance, see claim(s) 16 and 20.

All claims should be revised carefully to correct all other deficiencies similar to the ones noted above.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Stefanoni (US 5217177).

Application/Control Number: 09/905,550

Art Unit: 3653

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefanoni (US 5217177) in view of applicant's admitted prior art.

Stefanoni discloses an apparatus having substantially all the claimed features. Applicant's admitted prior art discussed on pages 1-2 of the specification discloses well-known features such as the papermaking machine. Steps such as the application of glue, passing the web with a drawing nip, using a flying change unwinder are old and well known in the art and the use of such would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to obtain the same functions. The slitting assemblies of Stefanoni are deemed to be adjustable, or alternatively, to make them adjustable, which is old and well known in the art, would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide for adjustability to obtain the desired web widths.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 2 PM to 10 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3597.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,550

Art Unit: 3653

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

J.L. Q. Myy

John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3653